

NASA's Environmental Assessment

Safest

NASA Evaluated 3 Alternatives for the Reactor Facility

Results of NASA's Environmental Assessment show that there are **NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS IDENTIFIED** for its proposed action to decommission the Reactor Facility.

NASA's preferred alternative is the **safest and most thorough** alternative to reduce radiation levels so that the site can be used for any purpose in the future.

| Alternatives | Radiation Levels | Timeframe |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Decommissioning and Decontamination | Reduced to at or below 25 mrem/yr. | Promptly |
| Entombment | Reduced to uncertain levels. | Estimated 100 years, then decommission. |
| No Action/SAFSTOR | No direct change. | No change. |

NASA's EA Results in Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)



EA reviewed in January 2001

FONSI approved by NASA Glenn Center Director in March 2001

FONSI published in local newspapers in April 2001

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not needed because no significant impacts were identified.